

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Department 82

25STCV07490

DIINELE DINAALI vs SHEIN DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

April 29, 2025

9:57 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen I. Goorvitch
Judicial Assistant: R. Mendoza
Courtroom Assistant: None

CSR: None
ERM: None
Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Court Order: Order on Ex Parte Application

The matter is not called for hearing.

The court in chambers reviews the ex parte application and rules as follows:

Plaintiff Diinele Dinaali (“Plaintiff”), a self-represented party, filed this action against Shein Distribution Corporation, Speedx, and Jennifer Swallow (collectively, “Defendants”) asserting causes of action for breach of contract, “personal injury,” unfair business practices, and “conspiracy injunction.” Plaintiff filed a motion for a preliminary injunction, which will be heard in Department 57 on May 22, 2025. Plaintiff also filed an ex parte application for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, which is noticed for hearing in Department 82.

The court decides this ex parte application in chambers, without a hearing, per Code of Civil Procedure section 166(a)(1). As an initial matter, Plaintiff does not advance sufficient evidence of exigency that would justify an ex parte application, especially considering that her noticed motion for a preliminary injunction will be heard in about three weeks. It is not sufficient to state only: “If the Court does not grant this relief, I will continue to suffer irreparable harm that cannot be adequately addressed by monetary damages alone.”

Putting that aside, there are several defects with Plaintiff’s ex parte application. Plaintiff seeks relief against a series of parties who were not named as defendants in the case. Moreover, Plaintiff has not provided notice of this ex parte application or filed a declaration to that effect, as required by California Rules of Court, rule 3.1203 and rule 3.1204. The court need not reach the issue whether Plaintiff shows a likelihood of success on the merits.

Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff’s ex parte application for a temporary restraining order and OSC re: preliminary injunction is denied without prejudice to proceeding by way of a noticed